POSITION PAPER · SEPTEMBER 2026

Psychoeducation Is Not Psychotherapy

When Understanding Is Not Enough

AUTHOR

Sarah Ozol Shore, MS

Clinical Trainer, Clinical Effectiveness Institute

Psychoeducation is a legitimate clinical function with a real evidence base, and it is not psychotherapy. This paper argues that the routine substitution of psychoeducation for psychotherapy follows from a category error about the therapist's role: psychotherapy is an operational profession, widely practiced as though it were a knowledge profession in which the practitioner's task is to convey what he or she knows. Four conclusions from this error are as follows: 1) A session is an occasion for conveying something, so an hour in which nothing was conveyed feels wasted. 2) Becoming a better clinician means knowing more. 3) The client's understanding is the measure of success. 4) And a client who understood and did not change has failed to use what he or she was given. Conscientious practice does not correct these errors because the therapist's error in thinking about the role is not visible. A client's immediate gratitude for accurate language reads as evidence of having helped, and a client's failure to change is attributed to the client. The result is the familiar pattern in which a client becomes psychologically literate, the clinician becomes more accurate, and nothing in the client's functioning changes.

I. A REASONABLE CLINICAL MOMENT

Imagine a woman in her late thirties coming to therapy describing anxiety that has been present, at varying intensities, for most of her adult life. She sleeps poorly. She rehearses conversations before she has them and reviews them afterward. She checks her phone in the evenings in a way she describes as compulsive, and she carries a persistent sense that something is about to go wrong but doesn't know what that something is. Near the end of the session she asks her therapist what she can do.

The therapist, who is conscientious and well trained, explains that anxiety involves a nervous system that has learned to anticipate threat, that anticipation itself generates physiological arousal, and that the arousal then tends to confirm the sense that something is wrong. The client listens closely and says that this is the clearest account of her experience she has ever heard. The therapist suggests a brief daily mindfulness practice, ten minutes in the morning, and offers a recording to make the first attempts easier.

The therapist in this example is caring and conscientious: the explanation is broadly accurate, the recommendation rests on a substantial evidence base, and the delivery is warm, collaborative, and appropriately paced. The client leaves feeling understood, holding language for an experience that had been formless, and carrying something concrete she can begin the next morning.

Six weeks later the picture has become less clear. In one version, she has practiced twice and explains that mornings are difficult. In another, she has practiced faithfully, reports that she is calmer during the ten minutes and largely unchanged for the rest of the day, and asks whether she is doing it wrong. In a third, she has stopped because sitting still and attending to her body made her more frightened. Each of these outcomes

is familiar, and each is commonly treated as a problem of implementation to be solved by adjusting the time of day, shortening the practice, addressing the barriers, or trying something else.

Everything the therapist provided in the first session was psychological information, and it was accurate information, delivered well, at a moment when it was wanted. This paper distinguishes psychotherapy from the delivery of psychological information, and discusses what follows for clinical practice when the two are treated as the same thing. The answer concerns how the therapist has understood his or her own professional role.

II. WHAT PSYCHOEDUCATION DOES WELL

Through the explanation, the client in the above example acquired a framework. Experience that had been diffuse and self-blaming was given a name. Clients frequently describe such a moment as the first point at which their difficulty seemed like something other than a personal failing. The therapist established a shared vocabulary that both parties can use in the sessions that follow. The client left with something to do between sessions, which sustains engagement and communicates that improvement is possible. And the manner of delivery, attentive and unhurried, contributed to a relationship within which further clinical work becomes possible.

The research literature supports treating psychoeducation as a legitimate clinical function with effects of its own. A meta-analysis of brief passive psychoeducational interventions for depression, anxiety, and psychological distress found a small but statistically significant reduction in symptoms of depression and distress at post-test, with a pooled effect size of $d = 0.20$ and a number needed to treat of nine, leading the authors to conclude that such interventions deserve consideration as accessible first-step treatments (Donker et al., 2009). In bipolar disorder, structured group psychoeducation for stabilized patients produced advantages in relapse prevention that remained detectable five years after the program ended (Colom et al., 2009). In psychotic disorders, a meta-analysis found that psychoeducational programs which included relatives produced a small significant effect on relapse and rehospitalization at follow-up, alongside no significant effect on symptom measures (Lincoln et al., 2007).

Psychoeducation can help. There are circumscribed problems for which good information, clearly delivered, is a sufficient and proportionate clinical response.

III. TWO DIFFERENT PROFESSIONAL FUNCTIONS

Psychoeducation, as the term is used here, refers to the transmission of general psychological knowledge to a particular person. It includes explanation of a mechanism, normalization of an experience, provision of a diagnostic or conceptual label, description of a pattern, delivery of a treatment rationale, instruction in a skill, and advice about what to do. Its defining feature is that the content does not depend on the person receiving it. The account of panic a clinician gives to one client is substantially the account he or she would give to another.

Psychotherapy involves more than the transmission of generally useful psychological information, and the presence of such information inside a therapy hour does not settle what professional function is being performed. The central contrast is the following.

> Psychoeducation provides information about psychological experience.
>
> Psychotherapy engages the person's actual psychological functioning.

The distinction is between telling a client something about psychology and doing professional psychological work with what is actually happening in this person. Delivering the same explanation in more individually tailored language remains an act of explanation. The distinction is not that psychotherapy is difficult and psychoeducation is easy. Explaining a mechanism clearly to a frightened person is a real skill.

Psychoeducation occurs inside psychotherapy regularly and often appropriately. However, psychoeducation is not equivalent to psychotherapy merely because it occurs inside a therapy session, is delivered by a licensed therapist, uses psychological terminology, is emotionally validating, provides relief, improves understanding,

or comes from an evidence-based model.

IV. WHAT MAKES PSYCHOTHERAPY MORE THAN INFORMATION DELIVERY

Engaging a person's actual psychological functioning can take many forms. Depending on the client and the moment, it may involve observation of what happens in the therapy room; assessment of regulation and of psychological capacity; attention to affect and to what interferes with it; exposure and behavioral experimentation; somatic observation; attention to defensive processes; interpretation; experiential work; practicing new behavior and watching closely what occurs; alliance work and repair; testing what the client expects and what actually follows; noticing what emerges in the therapeutic relationship; identifying what becomes intolerable, unavailable, threatening, or disorganizing; containment; support; case formulation; and tracking whether meaningful change is occurring.

These are different clinical activities with different demands. What they share is that each of them takes as its object what is happening in this person, and each of them generates information that general psychological knowledge cannot supply.

The most comprehensive meta-analytic synthesis of the alliance in adult psychotherapy found an aggregate alliance-outcome correlation of r = .278 across 295 independent studies and more than thirty thousand patients, robust across orientations, outcome measures, and rater perspectives (Flückiger et al., 2018). An updated meta-analysis of therapist empathy found a weighted correlation of r = .28 across eighty-two independent samples and 6,138 clients, and the authors describe empathy as a moderately strong predictor of outcome that holds across theoretical orientations, treatment formats, and client problems (Elliott et al., 2018). Alliance and empathy are clinical achievements in their own right.

Methodological work distinguishing the trait-like component of alliance, which reflects a patient's general capacity to form satisfying relationships and which he or she brings into treatment, from the state-like component, which reflects changes in that capacity developing through interaction with the clinician, suggests that aggregate correlations combine two processes with different causal standing (Zilcha-Mano, 2017). A client who forms warm relationships easily will form one with his or her therapist.

A client can feel profoundly understood and remain substantially unchanged in how he or she perceives, expects, regulates, relates, and behaves. A client can leave a session relieved and lighter while the processes that reproduce the difficulty continue to operate. Support, containment, and stabilization are each, at times, exactly the correct clinical function. There are clients for whom steady presence is the accurate clinical judgment, and clinicians who provide that well are doing skilled professional work. The requirement is that the clinician know which function he or she is performing and on what basis.

Tracking matters because improvement, by itself, is not self-explanatory. Alan Kazdin's analysis of mediators and mechanisms of change distinguishes demonstrating that a treatment produces change from explaining how and why that change occurs, and observes that after decades of outcome research the mechanisms through which most psychotherapies produce their effects remain poorly established (Kazdin, 2007). A clinician may deliver something that helps without yet knowing what process changed. That uncertainty is a reason to watch psychological functioning closely rather than to infer a mechanism from a good result.

V. PSYCHOEDUCATION SAYS, PSYCHOTHERAPY ASKS

The difference between these two functions becomes concrete when general psychological statements are placed beside the clinical inquiry they should open.

Panic

Psychoeducation says: Panic attacks are frightening and physiologically harmless.

Psychotherapy asks: What happens in this person when bodily arousal begins?

Where does attention go? Which sensation becomes salient, and why that one? What meaning does the person assign to it, and what does he or she fear it signifies? What behavior follows, and what does that

behavior accomplish in the next ninety seconds? What does it cost over the following months? A client who believes she is dying responds to arousal differently from one who believes she is about to be humiliated, and both differ from one who believes the sensation proves she is losing her mind. The statement about harmlessness is true in all three cases but does not give the therapist anymore information if the therapist does not ask.

Avoidance

Psychoeducation says: Avoidance maintains anxiety.

Psychotherapy asks: What is the avoidance doing here, and what happens when this client moves toward what he or she has been avoiding?

What does approaching something threaten? What becomes dangerous, humiliating, intolerable, or disorganizing if the avoidance stops? What happens in the body, in attention, and in expectation at the moment of approach? A clinician who assumes in advance that avoidance reflects a deficit in courage, motivation, distress tolerance, executive functioning or willingness has answered the question before asking it. Any of those may sometimes be relevant. Which one is operating here is a clinical finding that the psychotherapy must discover. Otherwise, psychoeducation comes close to generalized advice.

Grounding

Psychoeducation says: Grounding can help with dissociation.

Psychotherapy asks: What happens when this client is asked to orient to present experience?

Does he or she become more present, more absent, more compliant, more frightened, or more activated? Can attention shift voluntarily, and can it be sustained once shifted? Does the client report the exercise as helpful while showing every sign of having left the room? The response is information about how this person's attention, arousal, and protective processes operate together, and a clinician who reads a failed exercise only as a technique to be adjusted has set aside the most useful clinical material of the session.

Boundaries

Psychoeducation says: Boundaries are healthy.

Psychotherapy asks: What happens internally when this client considers saying no?

What does refusal mean, and what does it threaten? Does it evoke guilt, terror, shame, anticipated retaliation, abandonment, disloyalty, or collapse? Does the person know what he or she wants before another person's needs enter the room, and if not, at what point does his or her own preference become unavailable? The difficulty may involve a skill that was never learned. It may involve a position held under threat, a condition of belonging in a family or a marriage, or a way of being valuable that has no alternative yet.

Self-compassion

Psychoeducation says: Self-compassion is beneficial.

Psychotherapy asks: What happens when this client attempts it?

Does compassion feel fraudulent, weak, dangerous, indulgent, inaccessible, grief-inducing, or intolerable? Does it threaten an achievement system organized around self-criticism that the person credits with everything he or she has accomplished? Does another internal voice attack it within seconds? A client's inability to use self-compassion is clinical information of a high order, and concluding that he or she requires more practice is asking the client to do something they have not been able to do, which is why they seek therapy in the first place.

Alcohol

Psychoeducation says: Alcohol can worsen anxiety and depression.

Psychotherapy asks: What is the alcohol doing psychologically for this client?

What changes after drinking? What becomes quieter, easier, more tolerable, or briefly possible? What occurs in the evenings when the drinking does not happen? These questions carry no assumption about what must change or in what order. If the function remains unexplored, the therapist may not yet know what psychological problem the drinking has been helping the client manage.

VI. PSYCHOLOGICAL LITERACY WITHOUT PSYCHOLOGICAL CHANGE

A client arrives at a first session already able to say that she has an anxious attachment style, that her nervous system is dysregulated, that she people-pleases, that she has a harsh inner critic, that her window of tolerance is narrow, that she catastrophizes, and that her avoidance is what keeps the anxiety going. She can describe the childhood origins of her self-criticism with accuracy and some detachment.

She also cannot tolerate her partner being briefly annoyed with her. She reorganizes her week around other people's convenience without noticing she has done it. She experiences a delayed reply to a message as evidence that something has gone wrong. When she is criticized, something in her goes flat and stays flat for two days.

A client can become psychologically literate without becoming psychologically different. A client might understand why he fears rejection and that understanding can coexist with being unable to tolerate the possibility of rejection. A client may understand that reassurance maintains anxiety and that understanding may coexist with continuing to seek reassurance. Understanding that emotional withdrawal is damaging a marriage can coexist with continuing to withdraw psychologically the next time a conflict begins.

Understanding and insight can be helpful. A systematic review and meta-analysis found a significant moderate association between patient insight and psychotherapy outcome, with a correlation of $r = .31$ across twenty-three independent effect sizes, comparable in magnitude to the associations found for alliance and empathy (Jennissen et al., 2018). The same authors note that their analyses rest on correlational data, naming three possibilities the correlation cannot separate: that insight contributes to improvement, that improvement makes insight more available, and that both operate together or follow from some third factor. Insight may contribute to change without being identical to change.

There is conceptual understanding, in which a client can state an accurate account of his or her own pattern. There is felt recognition, in which the account arrives with emotional force. There is relief, in which something formless becomes bearable because it can now be recognized. There is new language, which makes previously private experience communicable. There is coherence, in which scattered events assemble into a story. And there is change in psychological functioning, in which what the person perceives, expects, feels, tolerates, and does under the relevant conditions has actually shifted. A client can have the first five experiences and not the actual shift or change, and can remain in that position for a considerable period while both he or she and the clinician experience the therapy as meaningful.

Therapeutic language contributes to this in a particular way. Statements such as "this is trauma," "this is your attachment system," "that is your nervous system protecting you," "that is a freeze response," "that is people-pleasing," "that is a boundary issue," and "that is your inner critic" may be accurate, and several of them draw on substantial theoretical traditions. The difficulty concerns what happens immediately afterward. Naming a phenomenon carries a feeling of arrival, and in many sessions the conversation then moves on. Recognition is not necessarily change. Psychological language serves the clinician best when it reopens observation and curiosity, and least when it supplies the sense that an explanation has already been reached.

VII. WHEN THE CLIENT DOES NOT DO WHAT WAS RECOMMENDED

A depressed client is encouraged to exercise and does not. An anxious client is encouraged to approach a feared situation and avoids it. A dissociative client is taught grounding and becomes more absent. A self-critical client is offered self-compassion and rejects it. A client who struggles to decline requests is given language for declining and cannot use it.

The standard vocabulary for these outcomes is familiar: resistance, poor motivation, lack of readiness, noncompliance, insufficient practice, failure to use the skills, limited engagement. When one of these described occurrences functions as the default clinical explanation of the stuckness, the clinician has then redescribed the presenting problem as the reason treatment did not work.

The depression example makes this visible. Diminished interest or pleasure, fatigue or loss of energy, and

psychomotor retardation are among the diagnostic criteria for a major depressive episode (American Psychiatric Association, 2022). Translational work on anhedonia further distinguishes the capacity to experience pleasure from the motivational and effort-based processes that drive the pursuit of reward, and locates a substantial part of the depressive deficit in the latter (Treadway & Zald, 2011). To say that exercise would help this client, and that the client is not motivated enough to exercise, is to cite a feature of the condition as the reason the recommendation for the condition was not carried out. The statement is circular. It may also be discouraging in a way that reproduces what the client already believes about his or her own failure.

The same structure appears across the other examples. The anxious client cannot readily approach what feels dangerous, which is a fair description of anxiety. The dissociative client cannot reliably stay present, which is a fair description of dissociation. The over-accommodating client cannot easily tolerate another person's disappointment, which is much of what brought her to treatment. The dysregulated client cannot access a grounding skill under exactly the conditions of activation in which the skill was meant to be used.

When a client cannot do the apparently helpful thing, that difficulty may be the clinical material rather than an obstacle standing between the therapist and the intervention. The failure to carry out a recommendation can be among the clearest demonstrations available of the problem for which the client sought treatment. If an approach is judged to have failed because the client could not do the thing his or her difficulty already makes difficult, the reasoning has become circular. This happens because of the category error. Psychoeducation is not psychotherapy.

The psychotherapeutic response is to become interested in what happened at the moment of attempted change. What became difficult, and at what point in the sequence? What feeling intensified and what expectation appeared? What was the existing pattern accomplishing at the time the new behavior was supposed to occur?

What the moment reveals could involve fear, capacity, habit, internal conflict, meaning, reinforcement, shame, loyalty, and so on. We do not know in advance. We must discover it. The purpose of the inquiry is to keep it open long enough for the actual answer to appear, and to treat persistence of the pattern as information rather than as an obstruction.

VIII. THE CATEGORY ERROR

There is a recognizable tendency in some contemporary clinical practice for explanation and instruction to occupy a large share of the therapeutic hour. Caseloads are heavy, sessions are short, documentation is demanding, and training is uneven. Those pressures are real but they do not explain why psychoeducation feels, to a conscientious clinician in an unhurried hour with a client he or she has time to think about, like the right thing to be doing. Something more fundamental is operating, and it concerns how the professional role itself has been understood.

Professions divide roughly into two kinds. In a knowledge profession, the practitioner's expertise is the service. The client comes for what the practitioner knows, and the work consists of conveying it accurately, intelligibly, and in a form fitted to this person's situation. In an operational profession, the practitioner's expertise is the qualification rather than the service. Knowing is what makes it permissible to act, and the work consists of acting on a particular case and observing closely what that case does in response. A surgeon's knowledge of anatomy is what licenses him or her to operate. Explaining the anatomy to the patient, however accurately and however kindly, is not the operation.

Psychotherapy is an operational profession that is widely practiced as though it were a knowledge profession. This is a category error in the strict sense Gilbert Ryle gave the term: an activity assigned to a logical type to which it does not belong, after which a series of perfectly reasonable conclusions proceeds from a premise nobody has examined (Ryle, 1949).

Once psychotherapy has been placed in the wrong category, four consequences follow. The first concerns what a session is for. If the practitioner's professional task is to convey what he or she knows, the therapy

hour becomes an occasion for transmission, and a session in which nothing was conveyed feels wasted. The second consequence concerns what improvement means. Competence is displayed by the accuracy, sophistication, and timing of what is conveyed, so a clinician who wants to become better seeks more models, more frameworks, and more concepts. The third consequence concerns how success is measured. The client's understanding becomes the outcome, and a client who can now describe his or her own pattern has been well served. The fourth consequence concerns how failure is explained. A client who understood and did not change has failed to apply what he or she was given.

These consequences occur no matter how skilled or caring the clinician is. A clinician who reaches them is performing the role correctly as the role has been categorized through his or her learning and assumptions, which is why the error is difficult to see from inside the clinical hour, and why it is not corrected by working harder, caring more, or completing another certification. Auditing one's own work means checking the steps, and under this premise every step passes. Additional training improves the quality of what is transmitted, and quality of transmission is the wrong axis.

The premise that knowledge delivery is the professional service is durable because the ordinary course of a session keeps confirming it. A client who has just been given accurate language for an experience says so and the clinician reasonably takes that as evidence of having helped. The evidence that would matter in an operational profession is slower: change in what the client perceives, expects, tolerates, and does under the conditions that produce the problem. That change appears long after the session that set it in motion, is difficult to observe directly, and is easily mistaken for relief. When it does not appear at all, an explanation is already available that leaves the premise untouched, since a client who understood and did not apply what he or she was given has failed to use it. The verdicts described in the previous section do exactly this work, which is preventing disconfirming evidence from ever becoming visible. A clinician who senses that something is wrong then reaches for the only correction the premise makes available, which is to know more, so the next certification improves the material, the immediate confirmation arrives more often, and the error is reinforced by the attempt to repair it.

Placing the profession in the wrong category accounts for the patterns set out earlier in this paper. It explains why warmth settles nothing, since a warm, attuned, deeply humane transmitter of psychological knowledge is still transmitting psychological knowledge. It explains psychological literacy without psychological change, since under a transmission model the literate client is a completed piece of work rather than a puzzle. It explains the vocabulary of resistance and poor motivation, since in a knowledge profession, once correct information has been correctly delivered, whatever remains unexplained belongs to the recipient. And it explains the discomfort clinicians report most often, which is not knowing what to say. Under a transmission model, having nothing to convey is a professional failure. Under an operational model it is an ordinary condition of work that has not yet gathered enough information to act on.

What sustains the error

Psychoeducation is teachable, standardizable, and reproducible. A supervisor can specify what a trainee should say about the maintenance cycle of panic and can evaluate whether the trainee said it. It is concrete, easy to recognize, and easy to document; a note recording that the clinician provided education about sleep architecture satisfies auditors and funders in a way that a note recording that the clinician sat with a client's inarticulate dread does not. It is easier to supervise than a clinician's reasoning about a particular client. It fits comfortably inside brief treatment structures and institutional expectations about what a session should contain.

It is also reassuring for both clinician and client. Clients frequently say that the explanation helped, which gives the clinician real-time confirmation of having been useful. Sitting with a client whose difficulty one does not yet understand, while resisting the impulse to resolve the uncertainty by explaining something, is demanding, and providing an explanation relieves that discomfort at once.

Training reinforces it further. Graduate and postgraduate programs generally teach diagnostic categories,

theoretical models, and intervention protocols with considerable clarity, and teach sustained observation of the individual client with far less clarity, which is the curriculum a knowledge profession would build. And psychological concepts now circulate widely outside clinical settings, in simplified and often decontextualized forms, so that clients arrive already fluent in a vocabulary that a clinician can confirm and refine without either party noticing that confirmation has replaced inquiry.

The result is a profession whose formal standards describe an operational activity, and whose training, supervision, documentation, and public vocabulary describe a knowledge transmission activity. A clinician working inside that arrangement is being consistent with the category the field has handed him or her.

IX. WHAT CAN BE DELEGATED, AND WHAT THE CLIENT IS CONSENTING TO

Psychoeducation is deliberately teachable, and that is one of its strengths. A person can be trained to explain panic physiology, describe the avoidance cycle, lead a grounding exercise, present sleep hygiene, outline common trauma responses, teach a basic coping skill, or review the effects of alcohol, without possessing the graduate education, supervised practice, and licensure expected of a therapist. Peer workers, health educators, group facilitators, and well-designed self-help materials do this competently every day, and useful psychological knowledge should be scalable. If a function can be competently delivered without the level of training required for psychotherapy, it is worth asking what follows when that function occupies a large share of the psychotherapy hour.

Therapists undergo graduate education, supervised practice, licensure, and continuing education, and carry significant ethical and legal responsibility, because psychotherapy is presumed to require forms of clinical judgment and psychological engagement that exceed the accurate delivery of general information. The American Psychological Association's policy on evidence-based practice describes this expectation directly, defining evidence-based practice in psychology as the integration of the best available research with clinical expertise in the context of patient characteristics, culture, and preferences, and describing clinical expertise as including assessment, diagnostic judgment, case formulation, and treatment planning, together with ongoing monitoring of patient progress and adjustment of treatment as needed (APA Presidential Task Force on Evidence-Based Practice, 2006). Case formulation appears within it as one component among several, which is the place it occupies in this paper as well (see Eells, 2022). The process literature points in a similar direction, describing how effective clinicians adjust what they do on the basis of information emerging from the particular client in the particular moment (Stiles et al., 1998).

Psychotherapy is a licensed profession because it understands itself to be an operational profession in the sense that it requires professional judgment in determining what is actually happening with this particular person receiving therapy and why, what clinical work can usefully be attempted, and what the response to the attempt indicates. A profession that has categorized itself as a knowledge profession does not require professional judgement in the same sense because knowledge transmission can be taught, scaled, and delivered competently by people without that training.

Every minute of a therapy session does not need to involve specialized expertise, and psychoeducation does not need to be absented from psychotherapy. But we must ask what the central professional function of each actually is.

There is also the matter of client consent. Some clients do come to therapy seeking explanation, clarification, diagnosis, reassurance, or education, and meeting that request can be entirely appropriate. Transparency is necessary in that clients are entitled to know what professional service they are receiving. A client may reasonably assume that anything delivered by a therapist inside a psychotherapy session is psychotherapy, and most clients have no way of distinguishing psychoeducation from support, assessment, skills instruction, relational work, exposure, capacity work, or affective processing. The burden of making those distinctions

clear cannot rest with the client.

A client who seeks psychotherapy is seeking specialized psychological help. If the principal service delivered is psychological information that is also available from a book, a reputable website, a podcast, or a public health resource, there is a legitimate question about the fit between the service implied and the service provided, between what the client sought and what he or she has actually received.

X. HOW PSYCHOEDUCATION FUNCTIONS WELL INSIDE PSYCHOTHERAPY

Psychoeducation has a defensible and often necessary place inside psychotherapy. It can orient a client to what treatment will involve, it can normalize an experience the client has taken as evidence of personal defect, and it can reduce shame enough for disclosure to become possible. Psychoeducation supports informed consent, since a client is entitled to understand what is being proposed and why. It can establish a shared language, introduce a skill and supply the rationale that makes practicing the skill sensible.
The clinically decisive moment arrives immediately afterward, when the clinician turns back toward the person. What occurred when you tried it, and what did you notice? What changed, and what remained the same? What happened in you at the point it became difficult, and what does that response indicate about how this operates in you?

These questions resemble troubleshooting but their aim is different than trying to make the psychoeducation assignment succeed. These questions are aimed at what the client's response reveals about the difficulty the client came in with. The progression runs from general psychological knowledge toward individual observation, and the client's response to an explanation or a skill becomes clinical data rather than a verdict on the plan.

XI. KNOWING AND CHANGING

A client can understand his trauma. He can identify his triggers, name his attachment style, recognize his cognitive distortions, describe his nervous system in current technical language, list his coping strategies, and give an accurate and even moving account of why he behaves as he does. He can do all of this and still encounter the same psychological limits under the conditions that matter, perceiving what he has always perceived, expecting what he has always expected, and doing what he has always done when it counts. Understanding often precedes change, sometimes accompanies it, and sometimes contributes to it. But the client's understanding cannot be mistaken for the professional work itself, and a profession qualified by what it knows cannot treat that qualification as its function.

Psychotherapy begins where explanation stops being enough. The clinician who has explained accurately, validated appropriately, and taught a useful skill has performed real clinical functions, and has not yet engaged what is actually happening in this person: what he or she can tolerate, what becomes psychologically unavailable, what appears in the relationship, what happens at the moment of attempted change, and whether any of it is shifting.

Are we helping clients know more about themselves, or are we doing the professional work required for something in their psychological functioning to actually change?

RELATED CEI TRAINING

Clinical Formulation: The Missing Link Between Understanding and Intervention addresses one of the clinical

activities listed in Section IV: how clinicians develop a working account of what is happening in a particular client, and how that account informs the clinical work that follows. Details and current dates at sarahozolshore.com.

REFERENCES

American Psychiatric Association. (2022). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed., text rev.). https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.books.9780890425787

APA Presidential Task Force on Evidence-Based Practice. (2006). Evidence-based practice in psychology. *American Psychologist, 61*(4), 271-285. https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.61.4.271

Colom, F., Vieta, E., Sánchez-Moreno, J., Palomino-Otiniano, R., Reinares, M., Goikolea, J. M., Benabarre, A., & Martínez-Arán, A. (2009). Group psychoeducation for stabilised bipolar disorders: 5-year outcome of a randomised clinical trial. *The British Journal of Psychiatry, 194*(3), 260-265. https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.107.040485

Donker, T., Griffiths, K. M., Cuijpers, P., & Christensen, H. (2009). Psychoeducation for depression, anxiety and psychological distress: A meta-analysis. *BMC Medicine, 7*, 79. https://doi.org/10.1186/1741-7015-7-79

Eells, T. D. (Ed.). (2022). *Handbook of psychotherapy case formulation* (3rd ed.). Guilford Press.

Elliott, R., Bohart, A. C., Watson, J. C., & Murphy, D. (2018). Therapist empathy and client outcome: An updated meta-analysis. *Psychotherapy, 55*(4), 399-410. https://doi.org/10.1037/pst0000175

Flückiger, C., Del Re, A. C., Wampold, B. E., & Horvath, A. O. (2018). The alliance in adult psychotherapy: A meta-analytic synthesis. *Psychotherapy, 55*(4), 316-340. https://doi.org/10.1037/pst0000172

Jennissen, S., Huber, J., Ehrenthal, J. C., Schauenburg, H., & Dinger, U. (2018). Association between insight and outcome of psychotherapy: Systematic review and meta-analytic investigation. *American Journal of Psychiatry, 175*(10), 961-969. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2018.17080847

Kazdin, A. E. (2007). Mediators and mechanisms of change in psychotherapy research. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology, 3*, 1-27. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.clinpsy.3.022806.091432

Lincoln, T. M., Wilhelm, K., & Nestoriuc, Y. (2007). Effectiveness of psychoeducation for relapse, symptoms, knowledge, adherence and functioning in psychotic disorders: A meta-analysis. *Schizophrenia Research, 96*(1-3), 232-245. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2007.07.022

Ryle, G. (1949). *The concept of mind*. Hutchinson.

Stiles, W. B., Honos-Webb, L., & Surko, M. (1998). Responsiveness in psychotherapy. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice, 5*(4), 439-458. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2850.1998.tb00166.x

Treadway, M. T., & Zald, D. H. (2011). Reconsidering anhedonia in depression: Lessons from translational neuroscience. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 35*(3), 537-555. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2010.06.006

Zilcha-Mano, S. (2017). Is the alliance really therapeutic? Revisiting this question in light of recent methodological advances. *American Psychologist, 72*(4), 311-325. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0040435

RELATED CEI TRAINING

Clinical Formulation: The Missing Link Between Understanding and Intervention addresses one of the clinical activities listed in Section IV: how clinicians develop a working account of what is happening in a particular client, and how that account informs the clinical work that follows. It does not take up the broader question this paper raises. Details and current dates at sarahozolshore.com.

Suggested citation: Shore, S. O. (2026). *Psychoeducation is not psychotherapy: When understanding is not enough.* Clinical Effectiveness Institute.

Sarah Ozol Shore, MS

Clinical Trainer, Clinical Effectiveness Institute